## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Ī | Application No. | Applicant(s)      |  |
|---|-----------------|-------------------|--|
|   | 10/684,432      | SASAKI, YOSHIYUKI |  |
|   | Examiner        | Art Unit          |  |
|   | TAWFIK GOMA     | 2627              |  |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears   | s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |  |
|--|---|--|
| THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |   |  |
| application, applicant must timely file one of the following rep<br>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal   | e same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this<br>lies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the<br>(with appeal fee) in compiliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request<br>R 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time  |  |
| The period for reply expires months from the mailing da  | te of the final rejection   |  |
|  | sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In   |  |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later  |   |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   | ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO  |  |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens<br>under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shot   | which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee<br>sion and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee<br>frened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as<br>in three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, |  |
|  | nce with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of<br>on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since<br>in the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).   |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |   |  |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but     (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider.  | deration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better<br/>appeal; and/or</li> </ul>  | form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a con   | responding number of finally rejected claims.   |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   | On the Lather and the Oracles Assessment (DTO), OOA   |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.   |   |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  | rable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the  |  |
| non-allowable claim(s).  | able it submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the  |  |
|  | will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   | ad below of appended.   |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:   |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  | of an area the data of filling a Nation of America Wheel he are trade   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and so<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>                                    | erore or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal Will <u>not</u> be entered<br>ufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and  |  |
|  | come all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a  |  |
| showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. |   |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |   |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance becau<br>See attached response to arguments.   |   |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PT   | O/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |  |
| 13. Other:   |   |  |
| /Joseph H. Feild/  |   |  |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627   | /Tawfik Goma/<br>Examiner Art Unit 2627   |  |
|  |   |  |